

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

DEREK BROS,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

AARGON AGENCY, INC.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:15-cv-02326-JAD-NJK

ORDER

(Docket No. 38)

“[W]e expect an attorney practicing in federal court to become familiar with and follow rules applicable to practice in this court.” *See, e.g., Dela Rosa v. Scottsdale Memorial Health Sys., Inc.*, 136 F.3d 1241, 1244 (9th Cir. 1998). As the Court has already pointed out in this case only a few weeks ago, “stipulations must be signed by all counsel.” Docket No. 37 (citing Local Rule 7-1(a)). Moreover, an electronic signature or handwritten signature must be provided for the filing attorney. *See, e.g.,* Local Rule IC 5-1(a), (b). The pending stipulation for a settlement conference is not signed by any counsel. *See* Docket No. 38 at 2.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, that stipulation is **DENIED** without prejudice.

In light of Plaintiff’s counsel’s inability to comply with the basic rules of this Court, even after they have been expressly enumerated in a Court order, the Court further **ORDERS** Daniel Zemel to read the local rules that became effective on May 1, 2016 in their entirety. Mr. Zemel shall file a declaration

---

<sup>1</sup> In addition, the stipulation was docketed by counsel with the incorrect CM/ECF event, as it was docketed as a motion rather than a stipulation.

1 indicating that he has read the local rules by no later than July 18, 2016. Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel  
2 are further cautioned that they are expected to comply with all applicable rules and orders, and that the  
3 failure to do so in the future may result in the imposition of sanctions.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 DATED: July 11, 2016

6   
7 \_\_\_\_\_  
8 NANCY J. KORPE  
9 United States Magistrate Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28